

## **Title: Pervasive shifts in forest dynamics in a changing world**

Nate G. McDowell<sup>1\*</sup>, Craig D. Allen<sup>2</sup>, Kristina Anderson-Teixeira<sup>3,4</sup>, Brian H. Aukema<sup>5</sup>, Ben Bond-Lamberty<sup>6</sup>, Louise Chini<sup>7</sup>, James S. Clark<sup>8</sup>, Michael Dietze<sup>9</sup>, Charlotte Grossiord<sup>10</sup>, Adam Hanbury-Brown<sup>11</sup>, George C. Hurtt<sup>7</sup>, Robert B. Jackson<sup>12</sup>, Daniel J. Johnson<sup>13</sup>, Lara Kueppers<sup>11,14</sup>, Jeremy W. Lichstein<sup>13</sup>, Kiona Ogle<sup>15</sup>, Ben Poulter<sup>16</sup>, Thomas A.M. Pugh<sup>17</sup>, Rupert Seidl<sup>18,19</sup>, Monica G. Turner<sup>20</sup>, Maria Uriarte<sup>21</sup>, Anthony P. Walker<sup>22</sup>, Chonggang Xu<sup>23</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA; <sup>2</sup>US Geological Survey, Los Alamos, NM; <sup>3</sup>Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute, Front Royal, VA; <sup>4</sup>Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Panama; <sup>5</sup>University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN; <sup>6</sup>Joint Global Change Research Institute, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, College Park, MD; <sup>7</sup>University of Maryland, College Park, MD; <sup>8</sup>Duke University, Durham, NC; <sup>9</sup>Boston University, Boston, MA; <sup>10</sup>Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Switzerland; <sup>11</sup>University of California-Berkeley, Berkeley, CA; <sup>12</sup>Stanford University, Stanford, CA; <sup>13</sup>University of Florida, Gainesville, FL; <sup>14</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA; <sup>15</sup>Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ; <sup>16</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD; <sup>17</sup>University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK; <sup>18</sup>University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria; <sup>19</sup>Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany; <sup>20</sup>University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI; <sup>21</sup>Columbia University, New York, NY; <sup>22</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN; <sup>23</sup>Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM

**Contact:** ([nate.mcdowell@pnnl.gov](mailto:nate.mcdowell@pnnl.gov))

**Project Lead Principal Investigator (PI):** Jeff Chambers (NGEE-Tropics); Jim Clark and Lara Kueppers for the DOE workshop “Disturbance and vegetation dynamics in Earth System Models in March 2018.

**BER Program:** TES

**Project:** NGEE-Tropics, and the DOE workshop “Disturbance and vegetation dynamics in Earth System Models in March 2018.

**Project Website:** <https://ngee-tropics.lbl.gov/>;

<https://tes.science.energy.gov/workshops/vegetationdynamics.shtml>

**Project Abstract:** Forest dynamics arise from the interplay of chronic drivers and transient disturbances with the demographic processes of recruitment, growth, and mortality. The resulting trajectories of vegetation development drive the biomass and species composition of terrestrial ecosystems. Forest dynamics are changing due to anthropogenic-driven exacerbation of chronic drivers, such as rising temperature and CO<sub>2</sub>, and increasing transient disturbances, including wildfire, drought, windthrow, biotic attack, and land-use change. There are widespread observations of increasing tree mortality due to changing climate and land use, accompanied by observations of growth stimulation of younger forests due to CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization. These antagonistic processes are co-occurring globally, leaving the fate of future forests uncertain.

We examine the implications of changing forest demography and its drivers as a critical uncertainty for both future forest management and forecasting impacts of global climate forcing. Ongoing changes in environmental drivers and disturbance regimes are consistently increasing mortality and forcing forests towards shorter and younger stands, reducing potential carbon storage. Acclimation, adaptation, and migration may partially mitigate these effects. These increased forest impacts are due to natural disturbances (e.g. wildfire, drought, windthrow, insect/pathogen outbreaks) and land-use change, both of which are predicted to increase in magnitude in the future. Tree growth, and potentially recruitment, may have increased globally in the 20<sup>th</sup> century based on atmospherically derived estimates of the terrestrial carbon sink and based on remote sensing data, but the growth of this carbon sink has slowed. Variability in growth stimulation due to CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization is evident globally, with observations and experiments suggesting that forests benefit from CO<sub>2</sub> primarily in early stages of secondary succession. Furthermore, increased tree growth typically requires sufficient water and nutrients to take advantage of rising CO<sub>2</sub>. Collectively, the evidence reveals that it is highly likely that tree mortality rates will continue to increase while recruitment and growth will respond to changing drivers in a spatially and temporally variable manner. The net impact will be a reduction in forest canopy cover and